

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE
ATLANTA FIELD OFFICE
BRAC ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
HAMILTON ARMY AIRFIELD
1 BURMA ROAD
NOVATO, CALIFORNIA 94949



April 5, 2004

DAIM-BO-A-HA

Subject: Forwarding the Source Investigation Report for the Archives Search Report Sites 4, 18, and 19 Hamilton Army Airfield; Novato, CA.

Ms. Naomi Feger S.F. Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board 1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400 Oakland, CA 94612

Dear Ms. Feger,

The Army is pleased to provide the Source Investigation Report for the Archives Search Report Sites 4, 18, and 19 Hamilton Army Airfield; Novato, CA for your review.

This submittal satisfies Task 3b of Board Order No. R2-2003-0076 Site Cleanup Requirements (SCR) — Hamilton Army Airfield pertaining to the Archives Search Report (ASR) sites. The ASR sites documented in this report are: the Skeet Range, Firing-In Butt, and Testing Range. These sites were included in the *Miscellaneous Sites Investigation Workplan*, which satisfied Task 3a of the SCRs.

Based on the data, the Army recommends further investigation at the Testing Range and Skeet Range sites. The Army recommends no further investigation at the Firing-In Butt because metal concentrations were below action goals at this site.

This document is being submitted to the RWQCB in accordance with SCR provision C8. It is also being distributed in accordance with SCR provision C9 for information.

I request your response by May 7, 2004. If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 883-6386.

Sincerely,

Edward Keller, P.E.

BRAC Environmental Coordinator

Hamilton Army Airfield

Enclosure

Copies Furnished

L. McMahan (DTSC)

D. Diebert (DTSC)

J. Browning (USFWS)

B. Stanton (USFWS)

L. Sullivan (NOAA)

J. Yamamoto (CDFG)

J. Hardwick (CDFG)

T. Gandesbery (CSCC)

S. Goldbeck (BCDC)

R. Zimny (USACE)

D. Doak (USACE - SPN)

S. Lattanzio (FNC)

BRAC office files

Distribution List Source Investigation Report for the Archives Search Report Sites 4, 18, and 19 Hamilton Army Airfield, Novato, CA April 2004

# COPIES	NAME	COMPANY	ADDRESS	CITY AND STATE	ZIP	PHONE AND FAX NUMBER
1hc + 1cd	Ed Keller, PE Edward.Keller@usace.army.mil	Department of the Army BRAC Environmental Coordinator	Hamilton Army Airfield 1 Burma Road Novato, CA 94949	Novato, CA	94949	Ph: (415) 883-6386 FAX: (415) 883-1033 Cell: (415) 250-8472
2 hc + 2 cd	Joy Lanzaro Joy.L.Lanzaro@usace.army.mil	BRAC Environmental Office – HAAF	Hamilton Army Airfield 1 Burma Road Novato, CA 94949	Novato, CA	94949	Ph: (415) 883-6386 FAX: (415) 883-1033
1hc + 1cd	Hugh Ashley Hugh.T.Ashley@usace.army.mil	BRAC Environmental Office – HAAF	Hamilton Army Airfield 1 Burma Road Novato, CA 94949	Novato, CA	94949	Ph: (415) 883-1016 FAX: (415) 883-1033
1hc + 1cd	Naomi Feger Nlf@rb2.swrcb.ca.gov	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board	1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400	Oakland, CA	94612	Ph: (510) 622-2328 FAX: (510) 622-2460
1 cd	Donn Diebert DDiebert@dtsc.ca.gov	California Environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substances Control	Region 1-Site Mitigation Branch 8800 Cal Center Drive	Sacramento, CA	95827	Ph: (916) 255-3728
1 cd	Lance McMahan LMcmaha1@dtsc.ca.gov	California Environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substances Control	Region 1-Site Mitigation Branch 8800 Cal Center Drive	Sacramento, CA	95827	Ph: (916) 255-3674 FAX: (916) 255-3697
1 cd	Jim Hardwick JHARDWIC@OSPR.DFG.CA.GOV	Department of Fish and Game	1700 K Street Ste. 250	Sacramento, CA	95814	Ph: (916) 327-0911
1 cd	Julie Yamamoto jyamamot@OSPR.DFG.CA.GOV	California Department of Fish and Game, OSPR	1700 K Street, Suite 250	Sacramento, CA	95814	Ph: (916) 323-4428 FAX: (916) 324-8829
1 cd	Jim Browning James_A_Browning@fws.gov	US Fish and Wildlife Service	2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-2605	Sacramento, CA	95825 -1846	Ph: (916) 414-6649 FAX: (916) 414-6712
1 cd	Laurie Sullivan laurie.sullivan@noaa.gov	NOAA CRC Program c/o USEPA, Region IX	Mail Code H-8-5 75 Hawthorne Street	San Francisco, CA	94105	Ph: (415) 972-3210 FAX: (415) 972-3211
1 cd	Ray Zimny Raymond.E.Zimny@usace.army.mil	USACE Sacramento District Attention: CESPK-ED-E	1325 "J" Street 12 th Floor	Sacramento, CA	95814 -2922	Ph: (916) 557-6965 FAX: (916) 557-7865
1 cd	Rich Seraydarian seraydarian.rich@epa.gov	Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 DoD and Pacific Islands Section	SFD-8-3 75 Hawthorne St	San Francisco, CA	94105	Ph: (415) 972-3031 FAX:(415) 947-3518
1 cd	David Doak david.v.doak@spd02.usace.army.mil	S.F. District USACE CE-SPN-PPMD	333 Market Street, 8 th Floor	San Francisco, CA	94105	Ph: (415) 977-8562 FAX: (415) 977-8431

Distribution List Source Investigation Report for the Archives Search Report Sites 4, 18, and 19 Hamilton Army Airfield, Novato, CA April 2004

# COPIES	NAME	COMPANY	ADDRESS	CITY AND STATE	ZIP	PHONE AND FAX NUMBER
1 cd	Tom Gandesbery tgandesbery@scc.ca.gov	California State Coastal Conservancy	1330 Broadway Street, Ste 1100	Oakland, CA	94612	Ph: (510) 286-7028 FAX: (510) 286-0470
2 cds	Victor Bonilla bonillav@forscom.army.mil	US Army BRAC Atlanta Field Office	ATTN: AFPI-BC 1777 Hardee Avenue SW, Bldg 200	Fort McPherson, GA	30330 -1062	Ph: (404) 464-6346 FAX: (404) 464-7040
1 (1 cd)	Mike Kelly	Army Environmental Center	SFIM-AEC-ERA Building E4480	Aberdeen Proving Ground Edgewood Area, MD	21010- 5401	Ph: (410) 436-1508
1 cd	Steve Goldbeck steveg@bcdc.ca.gov	Bay Conservation and Development Commission	50 California Street 26 th floor	San Francisco, CA	94111	Ph: (415) 352-3611
1 cd	Beckye Stanton Beckye stanton@fws.gov	US Fish and Wildlife Service	2800 Cottage Way W 2650	Sacramento, CA	95825	Ph: (916) 414-6602 FAX: (916) 414-6713
1 cd	Eric Polson	California State Coastal Conservancy	32 Upland Drive	Petaluma, CA	94952	Ph: (916) 801-6290
1 hc +1cd	Sue Lattanzio	Friends of Novato Creek	1092 Bel Marin Keys Blvd.	Novato, CA	94949	Ph: (415) 883-7967 FAX: (415) 883-0612

SOURCE IDENTIFICATION REPORT FOR THE ARCHIVES SEARCH REPORT SITES 4, 18, AND 19

HAMILTON ARMY AIRFIELD NOVATO, CALIFORNIA



TESTING RANGE

Prepared by: Prepared for:



US Army Corps of Engineers ®

Sacramento District Environmental Design Section



Department of the Army **Base Realignment and Closure**

APRIL 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Intr	oduction
	pling Strategy and Associated Field Activities2
2.1	Testing Range (ASR # 4) Sampling for Selected Metals
2.2	Skeet Range (ASR # 18) Sampling for Selected Metals and Polynuclear Aromatic scarbons
2.3	Firing-In Target Butt (ASR # 19) Sampling for Selected Metals
	ults
3.1	Data Quality
3.2	Testing Range (ASR # 4)
3.2.	
3.2.	
3.3	Skeet Range (ASR # 18)
3.3.	
3.3.	
3.4	Firing-In Target Butt (ASR # 19)
3.4.	1 Chemical Analysis Results
3.4.	
4.0 Con	clusions
5.0 Refe	erences
	<u>LIST OF TABLES</u>
Table 3-	1. Testing Range Results Exceeding Action Goal
Table 3-2	2. Testing Range Sieving Results
Table 3-	3. Skeet Range Results Exceeding Action Goal
Table 3-	4. Skeet Range Sieving Results
Table 3-:	5. Firing-in Target Butt Sieving Results

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1, Project Location Map

Figure 1-2, ASR Sites Location Map

Figure 2-1, Testing Range (Archive Search Report Site #4) with Sample Locations

Figure 2-2, Skeet Range (Archive Search Report Site #18) with Sample Locations

Figure 2-3, Firing-in Target Butt (Archive Search Report Site #19) with Sample Locations

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Analytical Data Tables

Appendix B: Analytical Report Forms (on Compact Disc)

ACRONYMS

ASR Archives Search Report

bgs below ground surface

BRAC Base Realignment and Closure

DoD Department of Defense

DTSC California State Department of Toxic Substances Control

FITB Firing-in Target Butt

HAAF Hamilton Army Airfield

mg/kg milligrams per kilogram

PAHs Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

ROD/RAP Record of Decision/Remedial Action Plan

RWQCB California Regional Water Quality Control Board

USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SOURCE IDENTIFICATION REPORT ARCHIVES SEARCH REPORT SITES 4, 18, AND 19 HAMILTON ARMY AIRFIELD

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The former Hamilton Army Airfield (HAAF) has been owned and operated by various branches of the Department of Defense (DoD) from 1932 to September 30, 2003. The Army is responsible for environmental remediation at HAAF as the Department of Defense owner of the property at the time of closure under the Base Realignment and Closure Act (BRAC) of 1988. The Army prepared an Archive Search Report (ASR) that identified three former firing range areas that may have been contaminated. The Army is responsible for investigating these sites to identify the probability of contamination that would pose a risk to future receptors in the reuse of the property as a wetland restoration site. The results of this initial investigation are compiled in this report.

A field sampling investigation was performed in January 2004 at three ASR sites within HAAF in Novato, California (see Figure 1-1). The three sites are identified in the ASR as Site 4 (Testing Range), Site 18 (Skeet Range), and Site 19 (Firing-In-Butt [referred to in this document as the Firing-In Target Butt {FITB}]) (USACE 2001) and samples had not been collected at these sites previously. The site locations within HAAF are illustrated in Figure 1-2. The investigation was conducted in accordance with the *Work Plan, Miscellaneous Site Investigations, Hamilton Army Airfield* (USACE 2004), with the exceptions noted in this report. The source identification investigation was designed to collect the data necessary to determine if the soil at each of the sites is contaminated from past DoD activities and if further action is required. The decisions are based upon the agreements and action goals in the *Record of Decision/ Remedial Action Plan (ROD/RAP)* (Army, DTSC, RWQCB 2003).

This report presents a summary of the fieldwork, the results of the source identification investigation, and conclusions.

2.0 SAMPLING STRATEGY AND ASSOCIATED FIELD ACTIVITIES

2.1 Testing Range (ASR # 4) Sampling for Selected Metals

Sampling and analysis was conducted to determine if surface soil metals (antimony, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, and zinc) concentrations exceed the action goals, and if ammunition fragments are present. The selected metals are indicative of metals from ammunition. Samples were collected along the length of the Testing Range Area on the inboard side of the levee halfway between the levee top and bottom, as illustrated in Figure 2-1.

The Testing Range area was heavily overgrown with tall weeds (6-10 ft in height). Each sample area was cleared using a stainless steel trowel or spoon to scrape growth in a circle approximately 1 ft in diameter. Five samples were collected from 0 to 3 inches below ground surface (bgs) for metals analysis by Method 6010. Additionally, five samples were collected at the same depths for sieve analysis. All samples were collected in accordance with the work plan; however, each sample location was shifted approximately 20 feet east towards the levee to reach the vertical center of the levee wall.

2.2 <u>Skeet Range (ASR # 18) Sampling for Selected Metals and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons</u>

Sampling and analysis was performed to determine if surface soil metals (antimony, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, and zinc) and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) concentrations exceed action goals, and if skeet and ammunition fragments are present. The selected metals are indicative of metals from ammunition and the PAHs are constituents of clay pigeons. Figure 2-2 illustrates the sample locations for both chemical analysis and sieving.

The Skeet Range area was heavily overgrown with weeds (1-6 ft tall). Each sample area was cleared using a stainless steel trowel or spoon to scrape growth in a circle approximately 1 ft in diameter. Nine samples were collected from 0 to 3 inches below ground surface (bgs) for metals analysis by Method 6010B and total PAH analysis by Method 8270C using selective ion monitoring (SIM). Additionally, five were collected from the same depths for sieve analysis. All samples were collected in accordance with the work plan; however, the location of sample SR-770 was moved away from a road.

2.3 Firing-In Target Butt (ASR # 19) Sampling for Selected Metals

Sampling and analysis was performed to determine if surface soil metals (antimony, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, and zinc) concentrations exceed action

goals and if ammunition fragments are present. The selected metals are indicative of metals from ammunition. Figure 2-3 illustrates the sample locations for both chemical analysis and sieving.

The Firing-In Target Butt area was heavily overgrown with weeds (1-10 ft in height). Each sample area was cleared using a stainless steel trowel or spoon to scrape growth in a circle approximately 1 ft in diameter. Five samples were collected from 0 to 3 inches below ground surface (bgs) for metals analysis by Method 6010B. Additionally, four were collected at the same depth for sieve analysis. All samples were collected in accordance with the work plan; however, small shifts were required to accommodate the terrain. The locations of samples FITB-732 and FITB-F-737 were shifted approximately 20 feet out of the water to the edge of a pond. The locations of samples FITB-F-734 and FITB-F-735 were shifted approximately 10 feet so that they were off of a gravel road.

3.0 RESULTS

Analytical results are listed in Appendix A by site. Laboratory data packages are included in Appendix B in electronic format. The compact disc containing this information is supplied at the end of this report.

3.1 <u>Data Quality</u>

The data were validated using the procedures specified in the Quality Assurance Project Plan section of the work plan. All data are considered usable to meet the project objectives with the exception of all antimony results. The analytical procedures used did not allow acceptable recovery of antimony in the soil samples; therefore, the presence or absence of antimony cannot be determined. The presence of any antimony above ambient concentrations due to range activities would be accompanied by the presence of other metals above ambient levels, most notably lead. Further investigation, if necessary, at these sites will include a different preparation method for the analysis of antimony so usable results will be available for assessing risk.

3.2 Testing Range (ASR # 4)

3.2.1 Chemical Analysis Results

Samples were analyzed for eight selected metals indicative of metals from ammunition. Data tables with all results are included in Appendix A. Table 3-1 lists results that are greater than the associated action goal. Analytical results and sample locations are presented in Figure 2-1.

Sample Identification Number	Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)	Action Goal (mg/Kg)
HAAF-TR-747	Lead	318	46.7
HAAF-TR-748	Copper	227	68.1
	Lead	2230	46.7
HAAF-TR-749	Copper	71.2	68.1
	Lead	1090	46.7
HAAF-TR-750	Copper	758	68.1
	Lead	1170	46.7
HAAF-TR-751	Copper	136	68.1
	Lead	1390	46.7

Table 3-1. Testing Range Results Exceeding Action Goal

3.2.2 Sieving Results

Samples were sieved for fragments of ammunition, pellets, and/or shards. The surface soil for each location was collected in three 4-ounce jars. The full volume of all three jars was sieved. Table 3-2 lists the results.

Sample ID **Description Number of Fragments Found** Size TR-F-752 Shards (small, gray-black, 4 4-10 mm possibly ceramic) TR-F-753 None 0 None TR-F-754 Flattened pellet (possibly a 1 5 mm piece of shot) TR-F-755 None 0 None TR-F-756 Flattened pellet (possibly a 1 5 mm piece of shot) Shards (small, gray-black, 4 3-15 mm

Table 3-2. Testing Range Sieving Results

3.3 **Skeet Range (ASR # 18)**

3.3.1 Chemical Analysis Results

possibly ceramic)

Samples were analyzed for eight selected metals indicative of metals from ammunition and PAHs. Data tables with all results are included in Appendix A. Table 3-3 lists results that are greater than the associated action goal. All results and locations are presented in Figure 2-2.

Table 3-3. Skeet Range Results Exceeding Action Goal

Identification Analyte Concentration A

Sample Identification Number	Analyte	Concentration (mg/Kg)	Action Goal (mg/Kg)
HAAF-SR-738	Lead	96.8	46.7
HAAF-SR-739	Total PAHs	12.45	4.022
HAAF-SR-741	Lead	101	46.7
	Total PAHs	1130	4.022
HAAF-SR-742	Cadmium	6.85	1.2
	Lead	123	46.7
	Total PAHs	21.6	4.022
HAAF-SR-766	Total PAHs	557	4.022
HAAF-SR-768	Lead	154	46.7

3.3.2 Sieving Results

Samples were sieved for fragments of ammunition, pellets, and/or shards. The surface soil for each location was collected in three 4-ounce jars. The full volume of all three jars was sieved. Table 3-4 lists the results.

Table 3-4. Skeet Range Sieving Results

Sample ID	Description	Number of Fragments Found	Size
	Shards (possibly ceramic)	6	5-10 mm
SR-F-743	Rounded pellets (possibly pieces of shot)	2	5 mm
SR-F-744	Rounded pellets (possibly pieces of shot)	2	5 mm
SR-F-745	Shards (possibly ceramic)	3	2-6 mm

Sample ID	Description	Number of Fragments Found	Size
SR-F-746	Shards (possibly ceramic)	1	5 x 8 mm
SR-F-767	Shards	3	5-10 mm

3.4 Firing-In Target Butt (ASR # 19)

3.4.1 Chemical Analysis Results

Samples were analyzed for eight selected metals indicative of metals from ammunition. Data tables with all results are included in Appendix A. No results were greater than the associated action goal. All results with sample locations are presented in Figure 2-3.

3.4.2 Sieving Results

Samples were sieved for fragments of ammunition, pellets, and/or shards. The surface soil for each location was collected in three 4-ounce jars. The full volume of all three jars was sieved. Table 3-5 lists the results.

Table 3-5. Firing-In Target Butt Sieving Results

Sample ID	Description	Number of Fragments Found	Size
FITB-F-734	Flattened pellets (possibly pieces of shot)	2	5 mm
	Shards (possibly ceramic)	3	2-6 mm
FITB-F-735	Shards (possibly ceramic)	1	5 mm
FITB-F-736	Rounded pellets (possibly pieces of shot)	2	5 mm
	Shards (possibly ceramic)	1	10x30 mm
FITB-F-737	None	0	None

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions were based upon the decision rules in the Data Quality Objectives section of the work plan. Ammunition, fragments, and/or shards were found at all three sites, suggesting the historical use of these ranges for military and/or recreational activities; however, soil metals concentrations exceeded the action goals at only two of the three sites.

All four samples from the Testing Range (ASR #4) contained constituents that exceeded the action goal. These were limited to lead and copper. The presence of pellets and small shards at this site indicates that there is residual contamination from Testing Range activities.

Six of the nine samples from the Skeet Range (ASR #18) contained constituents that exceeded the action goal. Elevated lead, cadmium and Total PAH concentrations within the Skeet Range appear to be restricted to the center and western portion of the range. The presence of pellets and small shards at this site indicates that there is residual contamination from Skeet Range activities.

All metals concentrations in the surface soil at the Firing-In Target Butt (ASR #19) were below the action goals. Activities at the Firing-In Target Butt do not appear to have contaminated site soils. This may be due to the short timeframe of use and the removal of the FITB structure from the site in 1947.

5.0 REFERENCES

- Army (U.S. Department of the Army), DTSC (California State Department of Toxic Substances Control), RWQCB (California Regional Water Quality Control Board) 2003. *Main Airfield Parcel Record of Decision/Remedial Action Plan, Hamilton Army Airfield*, Public Comment Final, August 2003.
- USACE 2001. Archives Search Report, Conclusions and Recommendations, Hamilton Army Airfield, U.S. Department of Defense Program, Base Realignment and Closure, Ordnance, Ammunition and Explosives, Final, September 2001.
- USACE 2004. Work Plan, Miscellaneous Site Investigations, Hamilton Army Airfield, Final, January 2004.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 1996. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste Physical/Chemical Methods, Third Edition, December 1996.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinion and amending letter, August 2003 and September 2003.